

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,899	04/06/2006	Nobuki Matsui	4633-0165PUS1	3397
225/2 7550 6772875/08 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER	
			RAHIM, AZIM	
FALLS CHUF	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/574.899 MATSULET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit AZIM RAHIM 3744 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 July 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/0E)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3744

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

 This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- Species A Figures 1A-2B
- Species B Figures 3A-4B
- Species C Figures 5A-6B
- Species D Figures 7A-8B
- Species E Figures 9A-10B
- Species F Figures 11A-12B
- · Species G Figures 13A-14C
- Species H Figures 15A-16B
- Species I Figures 17A-18B
- Species J Figures 19A-20B
- Species K Figures 21A-22B
- Species L Figures 23A-24B
- Species M Figures 25A-26B
- Species N Figures 27A-28B
- Species O Figures 29A-30B

Art Unit: 3744

• Species P – Figures 31A-32B

• Species Q – Figures 33A-34B

• Species R – Figures 35A-35B

• Species S – Figures 36A-37B

• Species T – Figures 38A-39B

• Species U - Figures 40-41

• Species V – Figures 42-43

Species W – Figures 44-45

• Species X – Figures 46-50

Species Y – Figures 51-52

• Species Z – Figures 53A-53B

Species AA – Figures 54A-54B

• Species AB - Figures 55A-55B

• Species AC - Figures 56A-56B

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 3744

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 8 809.02(a).

- The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
  - · Claim 2 Species A, B, C and U
  - · Claim 3 Species A and B
  - · Claim 4 Species D, E and G-O
  - · Claim 5 Species F, Q and S
  - · Claim 6 Species F, P, S and T
  - · Claim 7 Species A and B
  - · Claim 8 Species E, F, H-Q, S and Z-AC
  - Claim 9 Species E, H-O and Z-AC
  - Claim 10 Species F, Q and S
  - Claim 11 Species F, P and S
  - · Claim 12 Species E, H-O and Z-AC
  - · Claim 13 Species A
  - · Claim 14 Species A
  - Claim 15 Species B
  - · Claim 16 Species B
  - · Claim 17 Species B
  - · Claim 18 Species B
  - · Claim 19 Species A
  - · Claim 20 Species A

Art Unit: 3744

· Claim 21 - Species L-O, X and Y

Claim 22 - Species A and B

· Claim 23 - Species A and B

· Claim 24 - Species Z and AA

· Claim 25 - Species AB and AC

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.

- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature in all the species is the adsorption heat exchanger having adsorption action and regeneration action created by a refrigeration circuit. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element can be found in the prior art. US Publication 2001/0044943 teaches and air conditioning system containing a refrigerant cycle [illustrated in figure 2]wherein there exist an adsorption of an adsorption heat exchanger (230) [paragraph 74, lines 1-6, the buildup of liquid as an adsorbent on the heat exchanger], a regeneration action of the adsorption heat exchanger [paragraph 79, lines 10-13, the evaporization or drying of the liquid from the heat exchanger], and a condenser (232) substantially as claimed in claim 1.
- A telephone call was made to Richard Anderson on 7/17/2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3744

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Application/Control Number: 10/574,899

Art Unit: 3744

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AZIM RAHIM whose telephone number is (571) 270-1998. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7am - 3pm EST and Friday 7am - 9:30am EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frantz Jules can be reached on 571-272-6681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Azim Rahim/ Examiner, Art Unit 3744 7/17/2008

/Frantz F. Jules/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3744 Application/Control Number: 10/574,899

Page 8

Art Unit: 3744